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#### Abstract

The synthesis of tricyclo[5.2.1.0 ${ }^{4.10}$ ]deca-2,5,8-triene (1), 1, 10-dimethyltricyclo[5.2.1.0 $0^{4.10}$ ]deca-2,5,8-triene (3), and tetracyclo [5.5.2.0 ${ }^{1.8} .0^{4.8}$ ]tetradeca-2,5,13-triene (4) has been accomplished via the reaction of 1,2 -dicarbonyl compounds with di-tert-butyl 3 -oxoglutarate (Weiss reaction). Condensation of glyoxal 5 a with di-tert-butyl 3 -oxoglutarate ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) gave the tetra-tert-butyl cis-dioxobicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylate $\mathbf{7 b}$ in $93 \%$ yield. This bisenol $7 \mathbf{b}$ was converted into the bisenol ether 9b regiospecifically ( $90 \%$ yield). This transformation was followed by monoalkylation (KH, allyl iodide; $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and hydrolysis to generate 2 -allyl-cis-bicyclo [3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione in $90 \%$ overall yield from 9 b . The mixture of epimeric 2-allyl-3,7-diones $11 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ was transformed ( $\mathrm{O}_{3}$; DMS) into the mixture of epimeric aldehydes $\mathbf{1 2 a} \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$. This process was followed by aldol cyclization ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{THF}$ ) to provide the diastereomeric mixture of endo- (13a) and exo- (13b) triquinane monols in $85 \%$ yield. Reduction of $13 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ with borane-THF $\left(0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ generated the stereoisomeric mixture of triols $14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ which were subjected to an HMPA-mediated dehydration sequence to provide triquinacene (1), accompanied by small amounts of isotriquinacene. The mixture of trienes were converted into pure 1 by exposure to $p$-TSA in methylene chloride-pentane. Substitution of biacetyl (5b) for glyoxal $\mathbf{5 a}$ in the Weiss reaction, followed by the analogous steps detailed in the synthesis of 1 , provided 1,10 -dimethyltriquinacene (3). In addition, the synthesis of 1,10 -cyclohexanotriquinacene (4), another cen-tro-substituted triquinacene, has been accomplished by substitution of cyclohexane-1,2-dione (23) for $\mathbf{5 a}$ in the condensation, followed by the same sequence of reactions presented above for 1 and 3 .


The synthesis and chemistry of triquinacene (1) have been a topic of continuous interest since the molecule was first prepared by Woodward et al. in 1964. ${ }^{2}$ A number of groups have devised routes to this triquinane ${ }^{1 \mathrm{bb} 3}$ as part of an approach toward dodecahedrane; ${ }^{4}$ moreover, de Meijere has detailed attempts to prepare the strained polyquinene acepentalene from 1 and has reported the preparation of dihydroacepentalenediide. ${ }^{5}$

Recently Serratosa et al. ${ }^{6}$ have proposed an "aldol approach" to the synthesis of dodecahedrane related to the pericyclic route 2 originally proposed for this molecule by Woodward, ${ }^{2}$ Müller, ${ }^{7}$


1, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
3, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$


2


4
and Jacobson. ${ }^{38}$ Difficulties encountered in the reaction of the two triquinacene units of $\mathbf{2}$ in the desired fashion via their concave rather than convex faces have hampered previous attempts to execute this convergent, reflexive synthesis ${ }^{9}$ via the pericyclic

[^0]approach. Presumably this will pose difficulties in the related aldol approach. ${ }^{6}$

In keeping with our interest in the preparation of polyquinenes ${ }^{16.10}$ via the Weiss reaction, ${ }^{11}$ we wish to report the successful execution of a general approach for synthesis of triquinacene (1), ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and the centro-substituted triquinacenes 1,10 -dimethyltriquinacene (3) and 1,10 -cyclohexanotriquinacene (4). Of particular interest in regard to the present work is the unique topography of the tetracycle 4. This molecule has embodied in its [4.3.3]propellane molecular structure ${ }^{12}$ a six-membered ring which shields the convex face of the triquinane skeleton. This type of centro-substituted triquinacene may prove to be useful in the pericyclic ${ }^{2}$ and aldol ${ }^{6}$ approaches to the spherically shaped dodecahedrane.

The initial route to triquinacene (1) via the Weiss reaction (Scheme I) involved the monoalkylation of the highly symmetrical cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione, which could at best be accomplished in only $45 \%$ yield. ${ }^{13}$ Although symmetry in synthesis is often desirable, it is sometimes a complicating factor. Numerous attempts to differentiate between the carbonyl groups in the two five-membered rings of cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione have been reported. ${ }^{14}$ These methods have involved multistep synthesis,

[^1]Scheme I

6a, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
5a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$
6a, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
$6 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}$
5b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$
6b, $\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}$

Scheme II



12a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$. (-CHO, exo)
12b. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} .(-\mathrm{CHO}$. endo)
19a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (-CHO, exo)
$19 \mathrm{~b}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (-CHO. endo)


13a, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$. (-OH. endo)
13b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H},(-\mathrm{OH}$, exo $)$
20a. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}(-\mathrm{OH}$, exo $)$
20b, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (-OH, endo)


14a, $R=R^{\prime}=H, R^{\prime \prime}=H$ (endo)
14b, $R=R^{\prime}=H, R^{\prime \prime}=H$ (exo)
15. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{Me}$
21. $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}=\mathrm{H}$ (exo and endo)
protection-deprotection sequences accompanied by several recycle passes, or alkylation reactions the yields of which have been only moderate. ${ }^{13,14}$ Since the alkylation step was crucial to the successful synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ from glyoxal 5 5a and dimethyl 3-oxoglutarate (6a), a nother approach was investigated. The Weiss reaction between 5 a and 6 a gave the $1: 2$ adduct 7 a as the bisenol tautomer in high yield. The anti disposition of the two enolic double bonds in 7a has been previously established by Camps in a different series ${ }^{15}$ and confirmed in our laboratory. ${ }^{10 \cdot}$ Treatment of the bisenol tetraester 7a with diazomethane provided in $93 \%$ yield the bisenol ether $9 \mathbf{a}$, a molecule in which four reactive atoms were now protected from alkylation. Attempts to monoalkylate 9 a at low temperatures with allyl iodide were successful but hydrolysis of the methyl ester groups in the allylated material resulted in the isolation of a number of products of incomplete hydrolysis. ${ }^{13}$ Evidently, attack of the electrophile occurred, as expected, from the convex face of $9 \mathbf{a}$ and forced the methyl ester into the sterically congested cavity of the $V$-shaped molecule, which retards the rate of hydrolysis of this ester function. ${ }^{13}$ However, the versatility of the reaction of 5 and 6 could be exploited by substituting the tert-butyl ester functions of $\mathbf{6 b}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{tBu}\right)$ for those of the methyl ester analogue 6a. This replacement, moreover, had profound effects on the regioselectivity of the monoalkylation process (see below) and provided a simple means by which to alter the symmetry of the cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3.7-dione unit (see ref 16 for details). When glyoxal 5 a was stirred with di-tert-butyl 3-oxoglutarate ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) in alkaline solution, a $93 \%$ yield of tetra-tert-butyl cis-3,7-dioxobicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylate ( 7 b ) was realized. Treatment of tetraester 7 b with diazomethane resulted in the clean formation of bisenol ether $\mathbf{9 b}$ and in greater than $90 \%$ yield. The symmetry $\left(C_{2}\right)$ of the bisenol ether $\mathbf{9 b}$ (Scheme II) was confirmed by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy;

[^2]10 lines were observed in the carbon spectrum, which corresponded to 20 carbon atoms. The stereochemistry of the tetra-tert-butyl ester functions in 7 b as well as the stereochemistry and anti disposition of the double bonds in 9 b were assigned on comparison of the proton and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of these molecules to that reported for tetraethyl cis-3,7-dioxobicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,4,6,8tetracarboxylate by Camps. ${ }^{15}$ The coupling constants observed in the proton spectrum for $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}$ (Schemes I and II) in 7b $\left(J_{\mathrm{ab}}=2.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ and in $9 \mathrm{~b}\left(J_{\mathrm{ab}}=1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ are in agreement with the exo stereochemistry assigned to the two ester functions located at $\mathrm{C}(2)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$. The two methine protons $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{b}}\right)$ must lie on opposite faces (trans coupling) of the molecule, ${ }^{17}$ consequently, the two ester groups must be located on the exo faces of $\mathbf{7 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 b}$, respectively. The stereochemistries of $7 \mathbf{a}$ and $9 \mathbf{a}$ were confirmed in similar fashion and the coupling constants were also correlated with the related tetramethyl esters reported in ref 10.

From the outset it was decided to employ an allyl group as a masked acetaldehyde equivalent because the allyl group would be stable under conditions of hydrolysis and could be converted into an aldehyde at a latter stage in the synthesis. Monoalkylation of the tetramethyl tetraester 9a (Scheme II) with allyl iodide and potassium hydride at low temperature $\left(-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ gave a mixture of monoalkylated and dialkylated material. ${ }^{13}$ In contrast, however, monoalkylation of the tetra-tert-butyl tetraester $\mathbf{9 b}$ under the analogous conditions gave the desired monoalkylated derivative $\mathbf{1 0}$ with high regioselectivity in $90 \%$ yield. A detailed study of the influence of temperature and stoichiometry on the alkylation of 9 a and 9 b has been carried out. ${ }^{13}$ In brief, the large tert-butyl ester groups retard the rate of addition of electrophiles to the anion of 9 b and provide a wider reaction window in regard to temperature than was observed in the alkylation of the tetramethyl ester

[^3]9a. This permits the use of low temperatures in the alkylation of $9 b$ to prevent the addition of a second electrophile. This observation has far-reaching implications with regard to chemistry in this area, for the symmetry of the cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione unit has effectively been altered. The consequences of this observation will be reported elsewhere. ${ }^{16}$ The tetra-tert-butyl ester functions are, therefore, extremely important in directing the reaction toward mono- rather than dialkylation. Thus, $9 \mathbf{b}$ was monoalkylated ( -60 to $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) with potassium hydride-allyl iodide to provide 10 , and the product was hydrolyzed to generate the monoallyl 3,7 -dione 11 in $90 \%$ overall yield from $\mathbf{9 b}$. The monoallyl derivative was isolated as a mixture of exo (11a) and endo (11b) stereoisomers in a ratio of $3: 1$ ( ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) ${ }^{13}$ accompanied by less than $2 \%$ of the dialkylated 3,7 -dione.

When the mixture of epimeric 2 -allyl derivatives $11 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ was subjected to oxidation with $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}-\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}{ }^{18}$ on small scale, an $80-85 \%$ yield of a stereoisomeric mixture of the corresponding aldehydes 12a,b was realized, as illustrated in Scheme II. As expected, the ratio ( $3: 1$ ) of the two aldehydes was similar to that of the mixture of epimeric 2-allyl derivatives with the exo isomer predominating. This was determined by integration of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the mixture $\left[{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right.$ NMR 199.5, 199.8 ppm (aldehyde); $\left.{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \delta 9.80,9.35 \mathrm{ppm}\right]$. The scale-up of the $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$ mediated oxidation of 11 proved troublesome, for yields decreased. For this reason the 2-allyl dione 11a,b was stirred with ozone at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in ethyl acetate, followed by addition of dimethyl sulfide (DMS), ${ }^{19}$ to provide aldehydes 12a,b on a large scale in $81 \%$ yield.

Examination of the geometry of both stereoisomeric diketo dialdehydes 12a,b indicated that only the endo isomer (12a) could cyclize to provide the desired triquinacene ring system. It was, therefore, decided to adopt reaction conditions which would permit equilibration of the exo isomer (12b) into the desired endo (12a) stereoisomer. Once the endo isomer 12a cyclized, it was felt that triquinacene 13 would not reopen readily in acidic solution due to the stability of the newly formed $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ single bond. The thermodynamic equilibrium (3:1) between the exo (12a) and endo (12b) isomers could reestablish and this process would continue until 12 was completely converted into the tricyclic system 13 (Scheme II). In fact, the conversion of 12a,b into 13 in THF in the presence of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(2 \mathrm{~N})$ took 1 week to go to completion but occurred in greater than $85 \%$ yield.

The molecular structures of the two tricyclic alcohols 13a and 13b were confirmed by NMR spectroscopy (ID, 2D, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$, and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). From the mixture (approximate ratio 1:1), the endo isomer 13a solidified and was recrystallized from a mixture of chloroform-hexane. The exo isomer (13b) was obtained in only $80 \%$ purity. A systematic analysis of NMR data for both alcohols led to the assignments and coupling constants depicted in Table I. The coupling constant ( 10 Hz ) between $\mathrm{H}(10)$ and each of the junction protons $\mathrm{H}(1), \mathrm{H}(4)$, and $\mathrm{H}(7)$ in both 13a, b corresponds to a dihedral angle of (or near) $0^{\circ}$ and confirms the endo mode of cyclization. The solution to the assignment of the stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group at $\mathrm{C}(5)$ was obtained from the coupling constants of the two protons at $\mathrm{C}(6)$ in monol 13a. In addition to the geminal coupling ( 13 Hz ) for the protons at $\mathrm{C}(6)$ ( $\delta 2.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), only small couplings with $\mathrm{H}(7)$ and $\mathrm{H}(5)$ of 1.5 and 2 Hz , respectively (Table I), were observed, indicating that the corresponding dihedral angles are close to $90^{\circ}$. Examination of molecular models indicates this situation can only occur for the endo proton $\mathrm{H}(6)$ when the hydroxy group is also endo. This configuration is consistent with the $6-\mathrm{Hz}$ coupling constant between $\mathrm{H}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(4)$, dihedral angle of $20^{\circ}$. In the exo epimer 13b, a long-range coupling constant (four bonds) between $\mathrm{H}(4)$ and one of the protons at $\mathrm{H}(6)$ of 1.5 Hz can be employed to identify the $\mathrm{H}(6)$ exo proton at $\delta 1.985$. The coupling constants of both protons at $\mathrm{H}(6)$ and $\mathrm{H}(5)$ are consistent with the exo configuration for the hydroxyl function in 13b (Table I). In

[^4]Table I. Proton Assignments and Chemical Shifts of the Two Triquinane Monols Endo (13a) and Exo (13b)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13a |  | 13b |  |
| proton | chemical shift, $\delta$ | $J$ value, Hz | chemical shift, $\delta$ | $J$ value, Hz |
| H (5) | 4.49 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{5-4}=6 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { exo }}=4 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { endo }}=2 \end{aligned}$ | 4.24 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{5-4}=1.5 \\ & J_{5-6 \mathrm{exo}}=3 \\ & J_{5-\text { endo }}=4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(10) | 3.58 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{10-4}=10 \\ & J_{10-7}=10 \\ & J_{10-1}=10 \end{aligned}$ | 3.60 | $\begin{aligned} J_{10-4} & =9 \\ J_{10-7} & =10 \\ J_{10-1} & =10 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(1) | 3.15 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{1-10}=10 \\ & J_{1-2 \text { exo }}=10 \\ & J_{1-9 \text { exo }}=11 \\ & J_{1-2 \text { endo }}=4 \\ & J_{1-9 \text { endo }}=7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.96 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{1-10}=10 \\ & J_{1-2 \text { exo }}=10 \\ & J_{1-\text { exo }}=9.5 \\ & J_{1-2 \text { endo }}=7 \\ & J_{1-\text { endo }}=3.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(4)$ | 2.92 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{4-5}=6 \\ & J_{4-10}=10 \\ & J_{4-2 \text { exo }}=2 \\ & J_{4-7}<1 \end{aligned}$ | 2.77 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{4-5}=1.5 \\ & J_{4-10}=9 \\ & J_{4-2 \mathrm{exo}}=2 \\ & J_{4-6 \mathrm{ex0}}=1.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(7) | 2.84 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{7-10}=10 \\ & J_{7-\text { exo }}=10 \\ & J_{7-\text { eendo }}=1.5 \\ & J_{7-\text { exo }}=2 \\ & J_{7-4}<1 \end{aligned}$ | 3.00 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{7-10}=10 \\ & J_{7 \text {-bexo }}=9 \\ & J_{7-\text { endo }}=9 \\ & J_{7 \text {-9exo }}=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(2) exo | 2.69 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=19.5 \\ & J_{2 \mathrm{ex},-1}=10 \\ & J_{2 \mathrm{ex} 0-4}=2 \end{aligned}$ | 2.58 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=19.5 \\ & J_{2 e \times 0-1}=10 \\ & J_{2 \text { ex0-4 }}=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(9) exo | 2.66 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=19.5 \\ & J_{9 \mathrm{exO-1}}=11 \\ & J_{9 \times \mathrm{ex}-7}=1.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.64 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=18.5 \\ & J_{9 \mathrm{ex} 0-1}=9.5 \\ & J_{9 \mathrm{ex} 0-7}=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(9) endo | 2.42 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=19.5 \\ & J_{9 \text { endo-1 }}=7.5 \end{aligned}$ | 2.13 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=18.5 \\ & J_{9_{\text {endo-1 }}}=3.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| $H(2)$ endo | 2.32 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=19.5 \\ & J_{2 \text { endo-1 }}=4 \end{aligned}$ | 1.93 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=19.5 \\ & J_{2 \text { endo-1 }}=7 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(6) endo | 2.27 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=13 \\ & J_{6 \text { endo- }}=1.5 \\ & J_{6 \text { endo- } 5}=2 \end{aligned}$ | 1.62 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=13.5 \\ & J_{6 \text { endo- }}=9 \\ & J_{\text {6endo- } 5}=4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(6) exo | 2.08 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=13.5 \\ & J_{6 \mathrm{ex} 0-7}=10 \\ & J_{6 \mathrm{ex} 0-5}=4 \end{aligned}$ | 1.99 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=13.5 \\ & J_{6 \mathrm{ex} 0-7}=9 \\ & J_{6 \mathrm{ex}-5}=3 \\ & J_{6 \mathrm{ex} 0-4}=1.5 \end{aligned}$ |

addition, $\mathrm{H}(5)$ is more deshielded in the endo isomer 13a than in the exo diastereomer ( $\delta 4.49 \mathrm{ppm}$ vs $\delta 4.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), and in 13 a proton $\mathrm{H}(6)$ endo is more deshielded than $\mathrm{H}(6)$ exo ( $\delta 2.27 \mathrm{ppm}$ and 2.07 ppm$)$, respectively. In contrast, the shifts are reversed in the exo isomer 13b [that is, $\mathrm{H}(6)$ exo $\delta 1.98 \mathrm{ppm}, \mathrm{H}(6)$ endo $\delta 1.62 \mathrm{ppm}]$. Nuclear Overhauser measurements which were performed on both alcohols 13a and 13b yielded the following information: Upon saturation of $H(5)$ in 13a, the signal for $H(4)$ underwent an enhancement of $22 \%$ and the resonance for $\mathrm{H}(6)$ exo underwent one of $\mathbf{5 \%}$. In contrast, irradiation of $\mathrm{H}(5)$ in 13b caused an enhancement of $H(4)$ by only $5 \%$ and of $H(6)$ endo by $7 \%$. The strong NOE of $22 \%$ observed for $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ confirms the close proximity of $\mathrm{H}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(4)$ and the endo configuration for the hydroxyl group in this diastereomer. The assignments for 13a and 13b in the C-13 spectra (Table II) were based on the proton assignments ( $2 \mathrm{D}{ }^{13} \mathrm{H}-{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ correlated spectra). Carbon atom $\mathrm{C}(5)$ is notably more deshielded in the exo isomer 13b than in the endo epimer, as illustrated in Table II.

Several other methods were attempted to effect cyclization of the aldehydes 12a,b to the tricyclic diketo alcohols 13a,b, including acetic acid-acetic anhydride; trifluoroacetic acid; THF, $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{g})$; $\mathrm{THF}, \operatorname{HBr}(\mathrm{g})$. These reactions, however, furnished a complicated mixture of tricyclic compounds (see the supplementary material for details).

Table II. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR Chemical Shifts ( $\delta$ ) for the Triquinanols

| carbon | $\mathbf{1 3 a}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 b}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 a}^{a}$ | carbon | $\mathbf{2 9}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 223.02 | $220.51^{c}$ | $218.99^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $219.88^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 219.93 | $219.09^{c}$ | $217.63^{c}$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $217.69^{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 74.74 | 77.94 | 78.69 | $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 73.77 |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 58.88 | 62.28 | 68.92 | $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 66.13 |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 52.56 | 50.75 | 59.55 | $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | 56.70 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 48.74 | 46.34 | 53.25 | $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 53.16 |
| $\mathrm{C}(10)$ | 47.54 | 46.54 | 57.31 | $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | 56.74 |
| $\mathrm{C}(9)$ | 45.28 | 45.73 | 59.92 | $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 51.82 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 43.63 | 38.70 | 39.12 | $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 40.96 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 31.66 | 31.50 | 42.71 | $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 42.13 |

${ }^{a} 10-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $5-\mathrm{CH}_{3}: \delta 23.46$ and $23.29 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{b} \mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(12): \delta$ 34.73 and $34.30 \mathrm{ppm} . \mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11): \delta 22.78$ and $21.36 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{c}$ These assignments could be reversed.
Reduction of the two carbonyl groups present in 13a,bunder alkaline conditions ( $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ ) resulted in a retro-aldol reaction to generate 12 , followed by reduction of the three carbonyl groups to provide the ring-opened triol. In contrast, Lewis acid mediated reduction of 13 with borane-THF resulted in the formation of the desired triols 14a,b, isolated as a mixture of stereoisomers in $93 \%$ yield. Examination of the mass spectrum of the mixture of triols represented by $\mathbf{1 4}$ confirmed the presence of a weak parent ion at 184 amu; moreover, this ion rapidly lost three molecules of water to generate the base peak at 130 amu . Examination of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 14 confirmed the presence of two stereoisomers represented by $\mathbf{1 4 a}, \mathbf{b}$, the chemical shifts of which were determined from two-dimensional NMR spectroscopy and a DEPT NMR experiment. Due to the shape of the triquinane ring system, the hydride atom approaches the keto functionality of the endo-13a and exo-13b alcohols from the convex face. Consequently, the two new hydroxyl groups generated in this one-step sequence possess the endo configuration as illustrated in Scheme II. In both cases, the stereochemistry of the third hydroxyl group (from 13a,b) which formed via the intramolecular aldol condensation was retained. The stereochemistry at each chiral center of the triols is unimportant if an approach can be found to remove both (endo or exo) hydroxyl groups indiscriminately.
A variety of methods is available to convert the hydroxyl groups of 14 into double bonds; however, secondary hydroxyl groups are smoothly eliminated on heating the corresponding polyhydroxy compounds in HMPA. ${ }^{10.20 .21}$ The mixture of triols 14a,b was heated in refluxing HMPA for 48 h to furnish an $80 \%$ yield of triquinacene 1 , accompanied by $8 \%$ of isotriquinacene. ${ }^{22}$ [Note: care must be taken to employ a cold finger condensor (dry iceacetone) in this process to prohibit loss of volatile polyquinenes.] Since isotriquinacene, the bridgehead olefinic isomer, is approximately 5-7 kcal higher in energy ${ }^{23}$ (MM2) as compared to 1 , the mixture can be converted into 1 by stirring in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-pentane in the presence of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid. ${ }^{10,24}$ The disappearance of isotriquinacene can be followed by capillary gas chromatography until the purity of 1 is greater than $99 \%$, negating the need for careful distillation. Triquinacene triol 14 was also converted into the trimesylate 15, and the mesyl groups were eliminated, according to the procedure $\left(\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}\right)$ of Deslongchamps ${ }^{21}$ to provide $\mathbf{1}(80 \%)$, accompanied by less than $2 \%$ of isotriquinacene (see the supplementary material for details). The spectral and physical

[^5]properties of 1 were identical with those reported in the literature. ${ }^{2,3}$ In summary, $\mathbf{1}$ can be prepared from glyoxal $\mathbf{5}$ and di-tert-butyl 3 -oxoglutarate in seven steps, the yields of which range from $80 \%$ to $93 \%$ and the sequence can be scaled up with ease.

The versatility of the Weiss reaction for the construction of polyquinenes stimulated interest in the synthesis of 1,10 -dimethyltriquinacene (3). At the outset this synthesis might appear difficult, for the methyl group at carbon-l is located on a nonactivated position of the triquinacene framework. Moreover, the second methyl group (C-10) is cojoined at an activated position while two other activated carbon atoms remain encased in 3. Dissection of 3 in a retrosynthetic sense, however, provided a simple approach related to that employed for the preparation of 1 (Schemes I and II). When glyoxal 5a was replaced by biacetyl $\mathbf{5 b}$ in the Weiss reaction and stirred with di-tert-butyl 3 -oxoglutarate ( $6 \mathbf{b}$ ), a $93 \%$ yield of the 1,5 -dimethyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0] octane-3,7-dione tetraester 8 was realized. The bisenol 8 was converted into the required bisenol ether 16 in excellent yield upon treatment with ethereal diazomethane. Eleven lines were observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 8 , which corresponded to 22 carbon atoms, while 12 lines were found in the spectrum of the bisenol ether 16. The stereochemistry of 8 and 16 was assigned on comparison of their proton and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra to those previously reported for tetra-tert-butyl ester derivatives 7 b and $9 \mathrm{9b}$ (see above). ${ }^{10,15}$

Alkylation of 16 at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with allyl iodide-KH, followed by hydrolysis and decarboxylation gave 2 -allyl-1,5-dimethyl-cis-bi-cyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione 18a,b as a mixture of epimers (exo/endo, 2:3) in excellent yield. It was necessary to effect alkylation of 16 at warmer temperatures in comparison to the alkylation of $\mathbf{9 b}$ in order to maximize the yield of $\mathbf{1 8}$. Presumably, the 1,5 -dimethyl functions in $\mathbf{1 6}$ retard the attack by electrophiles at positions 2 and 6 . The ratio of diastereomers in 18 was determined from proton and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. Conversion of the allyl group of 18a,b into the corresponding exo (19a) and endo (19b) aldehydes was accomplished via ozonolysis according to published procedures, ${ }^{13}$ again in yields greater than $90 \%$. Because of the interaction between the methyl groups located at positions 1 and 5 of 19 and the aldehyde function at $C(2)$, the endo isomer 19b predominated in the mixture in a ratio of 3:2. Aldol cyclization of the mixture of aldehydes 19a,b was carried out in THF in the presence of $4 \%$ aqueous HCl to provide the epimeric mixture of diketo alcohols represented by $20 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$. The cyclization was complete in 72 h , whereas condensation of $\mathbf{1 2}$ to provide $\mathbf{1 3}$ had taken much longer as a consequence of the preferred exo stereochemistry in the case of 12a,b. The mixture of epimeric alcohols 20a and 20b was isolated in $70 \%$ yield, accompanied by another diketo alcohol (12\%), whose carbon skeleton is felt to be derived by aldolization of 19 in a transannular fashion (see ref 25 for details). The stereochemistry of the major alcohol 20a was established as exo on the basis of comparison of the proton and carbon-13 NMR spectra of 20a to that of 13a and 13b (see Tables I and III). In particular, the chemical shifts and coupling constants of the protons designated as $\mathrm{H}(5)$ and $\mathrm{H}(6)$ as well as the chemical shift ( $\delta 78.69 \mathrm{ppm}$, Table II) of the carbon atom at $C(5)$ are characteristic of an exo alcohol in this series.

Treatment of 20a,b with diisobutylaluminum hydride gave a mixture of epimeric triols 21 in $66 \%$ yield. The mixture of triols was then heated in HMPA at $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h analogous to the conditions employed for the conversion of 14 into 1 . Careful extraction of the HMPA solution with pentane-water, followed by distillation at low temperature, yielded 1,10-dimethyltri-
(25) The structure of the byproduct $i$ is felt to be that represented below as determined by 2D COSY NMR ( 250 MHz ) and double irradiation experiments. Kubiak, G.; Wehrli, S.; Cook, J. M., unpublished results.


Table III. Proton Chemical Shifts and Coupling Constants of the Monols 20a and 29

$20 a^{a}$

$29^{b}$

| proton | $20 \mathrm{a}^{\text {a }}$ |  | proton | $29^{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | chemical shift, $\delta$ | $J$ value, Hz |  | chemical shift, $\delta$ | $J$ value, Hz |
| H (5) | 4.39 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{5-4}=6 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { exo } 0}=4 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { endo }}=4.5 \end{aligned}$ | H(5) | 4.50 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{5-4}=6.5 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { exo }}=4.5 \\ & J_{5-6 \text { endo }}=5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(4) | 2.53 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{4-5}=4 \\ & J_{4-2 \mathrm{ex} 0}=2 \\ & J_{4-6 \times x 0}=1 \end{aligned}$ | $H(4)^{c}$ | 2.69 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{4-5}=7 \\ & H_{4-2 \text { ex } 0}=2 \\ & J_{4-7}<1 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(7) | 2.81 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{7-6}=9 \\ & J_{7-6 \text { endo }}=7.5 \\ & J_{7-9 \times \mathrm{exo}}=2 \\ & J_{7-4}<1 \end{aligned}$ | H(7) | 2.50 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{7-6 \mathrm{exo}}=9 \\ & J_{7-6 \mathrm{endo}}=4.5 \\ & J_{7-13 \mathrm{exo}}=2 \\ & J_{7-4}<1 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{H}(2)$ exo | 2.41 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=18 \\ & J_{2 \mathrm{exO}-4}=2 \end{aligned}$ | H(2) exoc | 2.68 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=18 \\ & J_{2 \mathrm{ex} 0-4}=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(9) exo | 2.56 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=17.5 \\ & J_{9 \text { exo-7 }}=2 \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{H}(13)$ exo | 2.40 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathrm{gem}}=18 \\ & J_{13 \mathrm{exo}-7}=2 \end{aligned}$ |
| $H(9)$ endo | 2.38 2.27 | $\begin{aligned} J_{\text {gem }} & =17.5 \\ J & =18\end{aligned}$ | $H(13)$ endo $H(2)$ endo ${ }^{c}$ | 2.68 2.28 | $\begin{aligned} J_{\text {gem }} & =18 \\ J & =18\end{aligned}$ |
| $H(2)$ endo $H(6)$ endo | 2.27 1.90 | $J_{\text {gem }}=18$ $J_{6 \mathrm{em}}=14$ | $H(2)$ endo ${ }^{\text {c }}$ $H(6)$ endo | 2.28 2.03 | $J_{\text {gem }}=18$ $J_{\text {gem }}=14$ |
| H(6) endo | 1.90 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{6 \mathrm{gem}}=14 \\ & J_{6 \text { endo- }}=7.5 \\ & J_{6 \text { endo- } 5}=4.5 \end{aligned}$ | H(6) endo | 2.03 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=14 \\ & J_{\text {6endo }-7}=4.5 \\ & J_{\text {6endo-5 }}=4.5 \end{aligned}$ |
| H(6) exo | 2.23 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=14 \\ & J_{6 \text { ex } 0-7}=9 \\ & J_{6 \text { ex } 0-5}=4 \\ & J_{6 e x 0-4}=1 \end{aligned}$ | H(6) exo | 2.30 | $\begin{aligned} & J_{\text {gem }}=14 \\ & J_{6 e \times 0-7}=9 \\ & J_{6 e \times 0-5}=5 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a} 11-$ and $12-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ are $\delta 1.22$ and $1.48 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{b} 9-, 10-, 11-$, and $12-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ from $\delta 1.4$ to $1.6 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{c}$ Coupling constants measured from the spectrum in $C D_{3}$ OD.
quinacene (3) (GC retention time, 5.7 min ), accompanied by an olefinic isomer represented by either 22a or 22b (GC retention time, 6.5 min ) in a ratio of 83:17. Again, the relative stabilities of 3 and its olefinic isomers 22a,b were assessed via a variety of computational methods. ${ }^{26}$ As illustrated in Table IV, both bridgehead isomers 22a,b of $\mathbf{3}$ are higher in energy than $\mathbf{3}$, consequently the mixture of dimethyltriquinacenes was stirred in the presence of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

After 3 h the olefinic isomer (retention time, 6.5 min ) had disappeared and 1,10 -dimethyltriquinacene (3) was isolated in pure form. The structure of $\mathbf{3}$ was determined upon examination of the proton and carbon NMR spectra of this triene. As expected from the symmetry $\left(C_{s}\right)$ of $\mathbf{3}$, six resonance signals were observed in the proton spectrum. Two of these represented the protons located on the angular methyl functions of $\mathbf{3}(\delta 1.15,1.24 \mathrm{ppm})$, while the two bridgehead methine protons were observed as a singlet at $\delta 3.20 \mathrm{ppm}$. The signals for the vinyl protons which remained were observed as follows: $\delta 5.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.75$ and 1.4 Hz$), 5.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.75$ and 1.9 Hz$)$, and $5.59(2$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$ in complete agreement with the structure of 3 . Examination of $\mathbf{3}$ by carbon NMR and high-resolution mass spectroscopy confirmed the structure as 1,10 -dimethyltriquinacene.

As pointed out earlier, the pericyclic approach 2 to dodecahedrane has been hampered by the propensity of 1 to undergo reaction via the convex faces of the molecules rather than reaction between the desired concave faces. For this reason a short synthesis of the centro-substituted triquinacene 4 was investigated. The construction of the [4.3.3] propellane framework contained in 4 began with the condensation of 2 equiv of di-tert-butyl 3oxoglutarate (6b) with cyclohexane-1,3-dione (23) in an alkaline medium in similar fashion to the preparation of [ $n .3 .3$ ]propellanes

[^6]Table IV. Relative Energy Differences between Dimethyltriquinacene 3 and 22a,b




| $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 2} \mathrm{b}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ relative to $\mathbf{3 ,} \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |
| method $^{a}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 a}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 b}$ |
| MMPMI | 7.6 | 8.0 |
| MMPI | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| MM2 | 16.8 | 17.0 |

${ }^{a}$ All three computational methods place the two bridgehead isomers considerably higher in energy than the symmetrical isomer and nearly isoelectronic between the pair. The MM2 force field does not take into account stabilization of the bridgehead isomers through conjugation of the double bonds, consequently the relative energy difference between 3 and the two bridgehead isomers $\mathbf{2 2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ is probably slightly smaller.
reported earlier (Scheme III). ${ }^{27}$ Although the tetra-tert-butyl dioxopropellanetetracarboxylate $\mathbf{2 4}$ was isolated in only $50 \%$ yield, the reaction can be scaled up above the $100-\mathrm{g}$ level; additional quantities of 24 remained in the mother liquor. The tetraester 24 exists in solution entirely as the bisenol tautomer and was isolated as a single symmetrical stereoisomer. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 24 contained a single resonance signal at $\delta 1.26 \mathrm{ppm}$, which represented the four bridgehead methylene groups. The 12 methyl groups of the 4 tert-butyl ester functions appeared as two singlets at $\delta 1.50(18 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.55(18 \mathrm{H}) \mathrm{ppm}$, while the methine protons of carbon atoms 2 and 6 were observed as a singlet in the spectrum at $\delta 3.77 \mathrm{ppm}$. The two enolic hydrogen atoms were found to resonate downfield at $\delta 10.90 \mathrm{ppm}$ while the $C_{2}$
(27) Weber, R. W.; Cook, J. M. Can. J. Chem. 1978, 56, 189.
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symmetry of 24 was evident on examination of its 12 -line ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum.

In order to protect the enolic hydroxyl functions of the bisenol 24, it was converted into the bisenol ether 25 on treatment with ethereal diazomethane. The $C_{2}$ symmetry of $\mathbf{2 5}$ was evident from the 13 -line ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of the material. The methyl groups of the enol ether functions appeared in the carbon-13 spectrum as a singlet at $\delta 57.23 \mathrm{ppm}$ and were also observed as a single resonance line ( $\delta 3.70 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR of 25 . The anti disposition of the double bonds in $\mathbf{2 5}$ was, therefore, assigned on the basis of the symmetry observed in both the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2 5}$, in agreement with the assignments for $\mathbf{7 b}$ and 9b (Scheme II).

The bisenol ether 25 was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with 2.2 equiv of potassium hydride in DMF for 1 h , followed by addition of allyl iodide ( 2.2 equiv) at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Hydrolysis and decarboxylation of the intermediate tetraester 26 furnished the desired monoallyl[4.3.3]propellanedione $\mathbf{2 7}$ in $86 \%$ overall yield from 2. Regiospecific monoalkylation had been effected in high yield. The monoallyl derivative 27 was isolated as a mixture of endo (27a) and exo (27b) stereoisomers in a ratio of 3:2 (GC and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR). Conversion of the allyl group of 27 into the aldehyde function of 28 was accomplished by ozonolysis in $93 \%$ yield, according to published procedures. ${ }^{10}$ Aldol cyclization of 28a,b to provide triquinane 29 was executed under conditions of tautomeric equilibrium ( $2 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{THF}$ ) to permit the exo stereoisomer 28b to epimerize to the endo diastereomer 28a. ${ }^{10}$ Since the endo stereoisomer 28a was the thermodynamically more stable epimer, the cyclization to 29 occurred rapidly and in $86 \%$ yield.

The stereochemistry of the endo triquinane monol 29, the only epimer isolated from this process, was established principally on the basis of the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy. Compare, for example,
the chemical shifts of the $\mathrm{C}(5)$ carbon atom in 29 ( $\delta 73.77 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) to that in the endo hydroxytriquinane 13a ( $\delta 74.74 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), both of which are upfield from the corresponding signal in either of the exo isomers 13b or 20a (see Table II). In addition, since the proton spectrum of 13a (endo) differed somewhat from that of 29 (endo), an NOE experiment was carried out. Upon irradiation of the proton at $\mathrm{C}(5)$ in 29 , the signal for the junction proton $\mathrm{H}(4)$ increased ( $10 \%$ ) and the analogous signal for $\mathrm{H}(6)$ exo also was enhanced ( $5 \%$ ). These results are consistent with the configuration of the hydroxyl group (endo) as illustrated in 29 (Scheme IV).

When 29 was stirred in borane-THF ( 1 N ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h , a mixture of stereoisomeric triols 30 was isolated in $95 \%$ yield (Scheme IV). These triols 30 were not separated but were heated in HMPA at $230-240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h under conditions analogous to those employed for the conversion of other polyols into polyquinenes. ${ }^{10.11}$ Careful extraction of the HMPA solution with pentane-water, followed by distillation of the pentane layer through a column packed with glass beads furnished the propellane triquinacene 4 in $60-65 \%$ yield, accompanied by two minor olefinic isomers 31a and 31b (GC ratio 90:4:6). When the mixture of propellane triquinacenes $\mathbf{4}, 31 \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ was stirred in the presence of $p$-toluenesulfonic acid, the minor isomers 31a,b disappeared and 4 was isolated in pure form (GC retention time, 8.2 min ). As expected from the $C_{2}$ symmetry of 4,10 lines were observed in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of this triene and 5 resonance signals were found in the proton NMR at $\delta 5.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $5.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J$ $=2.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz})$, and $1.48(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) \mathrm{ppm}$, respectively. This triene 4 is much easier to isolate from this process, since it has a much higher boiling point ( $63^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 10 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) than 1 . In addition, the mixture of olefinic isomers $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3 1 a}, \mathbf{b}$ was converted into the parent hydrocarbon 32 upon catalytic hydrogenation, indicating that 31a
and 31 b were indeed olefinic isomers of 4 .

## Conclusion

In summary, the synthesis of triquinacenes $1,{ }^{1 \mathrm{~b}} 3$, and $4^{1 \mathrm{~b}}$ have been accomplished via the Weiss reaction including the synthesis of the first two centro-substituted triquinacenes. ${ }^{12}$ Since all of the steps outlined in Schemes I-V can be scaled up with ease, it is felt this general route can be employed for the preparation of multigram quantities of these trienes. Replacement of glyoxal 5 a with other 1,2-dicarbonyl compounds provides a simple entry into 1,10 -disubstituted triquinacenes. Moreover, regiospecific alteration of the symmetry of the cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione unit by the monoalkylation sequence detailed herein has enhanced the versatility of the Weiss reaction for the construction of polyquinenes of complex structure, including molecules amenable for the Woodward pericyclic ${ }^{2}$ and Serratosa "aldol" ${ }^{\text {" }}$ approaches to dodecahedrane. Although triquinacenes have occupied a pivotal position in the development of polyquinane chemistry, ${ }^{28}$ to our knowledge no previous route to these topologically interesting centro-substituted molecules has been reported. Further work in this area is under way and will be reported in due course.

## Experimental Section

The details of the general experimental are contained in the supplementary material. Tetra-tert-butyl cis-3,8-dihydroxybicyclo[3.3.0]octa-2,6-diene-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylate (7b), tetramethyl 3,7-dimethoxy-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octa-2,6-diene-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylate (9a), and tetra-tert-butyl-3,7-dimethoxy-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octa-2,6-diene-2,4,6,8-tetracarboxylate ( 9 b ) were prepared according to our published procedures. ${ }^{10.11}$ Unless otherwise stated, all other starting materials were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., Milwaukee, WI.

Di-tert-butyl 3-Oxoglutarate (6b). The 3 -oxoglutaric acid ( 75.0 g , $0.513 \mathrm{~mol})$ was placed into a cold $\left(-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$, hexane-dry ice bath) Parr hydrogenation pressure bottle. Freshly condensed isobutylene ( 250 mL ), anhydrous ether ( 60 mL ), and concentrated sulfuric acid ( 5 mL ) were added to the slurry. The bottle was tightly capped with a rubber stopper secured by wire and placed on a shaker (parr hydrogenation apparatus) for 4 days or until all of the solid material (3-oxoglutaric acid) had dissolved. The bottle was then cooled to $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and uncapped. The contents were poured into a well-stirred, chilled solution of aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution ( $300 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%$ ) in a 2-L beaker. The solid which formed was filtered from the medium, washed with water ( $3 \times 50$ mL ), and dried under vacuum to provide $110 \mathrm{~g}(83 \%)$ of white solid. The crude material was crystallized from a mixture of hexane-ethyl acetate to provide pure di-tert-butyl ester $\mathbf{6 b}(95.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.368 \mathrm{~mol}, 72 \%)$. The reaction was repeated on scales ranging from 25 to 90 g with yields in the $60-85 \%$ range. $6 \mathrm{~b}: \mathrm{mp} 60-61^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{mp} 58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(60 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.32(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.20(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 20 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 27.5,49.7,81.5,165.5,195.6$.

2-Allyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (11a,b). The tetra-tert-butyl ester $9 \mathrm{~b}(31.8 \mathrm{~g}, 56.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry DMF ( 200.0 mL ). This solution was slowly added to a three-necked round-bottom flask which contained KH ( $7.3 \mathrm{~g}, 182.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was maintained under a dry, inert atmosphere (Ar) and kept at a temperature of $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right.$-dry ice bath). After the tetraester 9 b was added to the KH slurry, the temperature was lowered to $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-dry ice bath) for 1.0 h . An overhead stirrer was necessary to keep the solution from solidifying. A large excess of allyl iodide ( $17.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 185.9 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to the reaction mixture with a syringe and the temperature was maintained at $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5.0 h . The reaction was quenched by adding an excess of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(250.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{~N})$ to the mixture at $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was permitted to warm to room temperature. Water ( 2.0 L) was added and the reaction mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times$ 150 mL ). The organic layers were combined, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The oil 10 which resulted was suitable for decarboxylation. Decarboxylation was accomplished by heating 10 in a mixture of glacial acetic acid and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1.0 \mathrm{~N})$ at reflux for
(28) For recent reviews in the area of polyquinanes, see: Paquette, L. A. Topics in Current Chemistry, Springer-Verlag: New York, 1984; Vol. 119. Eaton, P. E. Tetrahedron 1979, 35, 2189. Mehta, G. J. Sci. Ind. Res. 1978, 37, 256. Ramaiah, M. Synthesis 1984, 529. Trost, B. M. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1982, 11,141 .
(29) Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923. (30) De Boer, T. J.; Baker, H. J. Organic Syntheses; Wiley: New York, 1963; Collect. Vol. IV, p 250 .
(31) Di-tert-butyl 3-oxoglutarate (27,081-4); mp $58-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Aldrich Chemical Co. Catalog Handbook of Fine Chemicals, 1986-1987; p 430.
1.5 h . The solution was then cooled to room temperature, diluted with water, and washed with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 75 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( $10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ), and then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide a light orange oil ( 9.86 g ). It was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate-hexane (20:80) to give 8.9 g of pure monoallyl derivative $11 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(90 \%)$ : bp $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(0.1 \mathrm{mmHg})$; IR (neat) 2920, 1720, 1630, 1390, $1130,900 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(60 \mathrm{mHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ 1.9-2.8 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.9-3.4 (3 H, m), $4.9(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.1(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.5(1$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .11 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.8 \mathrm{mHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 33.52(\mathrm{t}$, carbon $\alpha$ to the alkene), 34.07, 41.75, (d, bridgehead carbon), $43.35(\mathrm{t}), 43.39(\mathrm{t}), 43.76$ ( t$), 53.43$ (d, carbon $\alpha$ to the ketone), 117.69 ( t$), 134.65$ (d), 217.89 (s), 218.28 (s). 11b: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.8 \mathrm{mHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 30.72$ (t), 33.83 (d), 40.59 (d, bridgehead carbons), $41.82(\mathrm{t}), 43.35(\mathrm{t}), 44.09(\mathrm{t}), 53.69(\mathrm{~d}$, carbon $\alpha$ to the ketone), 116.17 (t), 135.55 (d), 217.06 (s), 217.15 (s); mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) , m/e (relative intensity) $179(\mathrm{M}+1,100)$, 137 (9.1). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{C}, 74.13 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.92$. Found: C , 74.19; H, 7.86.

2-(2-Oxoethyl)-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (12a,b). The monoallyl derivatives $11 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(3.16 \mathrm{~g}, 17.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in a mixture of dioxane and water ( $65: 45,80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The reaction vessel was wrapped with aluminum foil to prevent light from entering the solution. A catalytic amount of solid $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}(5 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the mixture. The reaction slurry was permitted to stir for 45 min , whereupon the solution took on a dark brownish-purple color. Small quantities of solid $\mathrm{NaIO}_{4}$ ( $10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 46.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the mixture over a 4 -h period. After the reaction was completed, the mixture was filtered in order to remove solid inorganic salts. The salts were washed with ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was extracted repeatedly with fresh ethyl acetate. The ethyl acetate washes were combined and percolated through a column (alumina) to remove residual $\mathrm{OsO}_{4}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide a light yellow oil $(2.54 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 79.5 \%)$. The residue contained a mixture of the two aldehydic epimers $\mathbf{1 2 a} \mathbf{a} \mathbf{b}$. According to analysis by TLC ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 9: 1$, ethyl acetate-ethanol), the two tricyclic aldol products 13a,b were also present. 12a,b: IR (neat) 2928, $2770,1715,1410,1170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(60 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.8-2.6(8$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.7-2.9(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 9.8(1 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $20 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (major isomer) $\delta 33.6,41.6,42.2,42.3,42.5,42.8,46.8,199.5,217.3$, 217.7; mass spectrum (EI, 15 eV ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $180\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 7.5\right.$ ), 152 (12.0), 137 (100)

Preparation of 2-(2-Oxoethyl)-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (12a,b) via Ozonolysis in Ethyl Acetate. The monoallyl derivatives 11a,b $(5.632 \mathrm{~g}, 31.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in dry ethyl acetate $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$. The reaction mixture was cooled to $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane-dry ice bath). Ozone was bubbled through the solution until a light blue color appeared. The excess $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ was purged from the solution with dry nitrogen. While the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at $-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, dimethyl sulfide ( 50 mL ) and methanol ( 50 mL ) were added to the mixture with a syringe. The solution was permitted to stir for 12 h during which time the temperature was allowed to rise to $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the crude aldehyde 12a,b was purified by passing it through a short silica gel column with ethyl acetate to afford 4.6 g of a colorless oil ( $81 \%$ ). The IR, MS, and C-13 NMR data for the crude 12a,b were identical with those obtained on 12a,b prepared above.

5-Hydroxytricyclo[5.2.1.04.10 decane-3,8-dione (13a,b). The mixture of monoaldehydes $12 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(10.92 \mathrm{~g}, 60.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in THF ( 1.0 L) and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(70.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{~N})$ was added to the solution. The mixture was stirred for 1 week and maintained under an inert atmosphere ( Ar ). Solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was then added to the mixture and the slurry was allowed to stir for 1 h . The solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was filtered from the medium and discarded. The solvent from the filtrate was removed under reduced pressure to provide an oil which appeared to be light orange. Water was removed from the oil by azeotropic distillation with benzene. The benzene was removed under reduced pressure to provide an oil ( 9.38 g , $52.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 85.9 \%$ ). The oil was found to be a mixture ( $50: 50$ ) of two epimeric alcohols (C-13 NMR spectrum). Analysis of the oil by thinlayer chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 10: 90\right)$ indicated the presence of two components with $R_{f} s$ of 0.27 and 0.23 . From the mixture of epimers, the endo isomer 13a solidified and was recrystallized from a mixture of chloroform-hexane. 13a (endo isomer: $R_{f} 0.27, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ with 10:90, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$-ethyl acetate): mp $164-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR ( KBr ) 3400, 3000, $1735(\mathrm{sh}), 1725,1410 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.49(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=6,4,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.58(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=10$ ), $3.15(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=10$, $11,4,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.92(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=6,10,2,<1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.84$ ( 1 H , dddd, $J=10,1.5,2,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.69(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=19.5,10,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.66(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=19.5,11,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=19.5,7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.32(1 \mathrm{H}$, dd, $J=19.5,4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=13,1.5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.08(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=13,10,4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 223.02,219.93,74.74$, $58.88,52.56,48.74,47.54,45.28,43.63,31.66$; mass spectrum (CI, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $181(\mathrm{M}+1,51.4), 163$ (100). Anal. Calcd for
$\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ : C, 66.65; H, 6.71. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.40 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78$. 13b (exo isomer; $R_{f} 0.23, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 10: 90, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$-ethyl acetate): IR (neat) 3480 , $3040,1730,1705(\mathrm{sh}), 1442,1335,1070 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}(500 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 4.24(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=1.5,3,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $3.60(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=9,10$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 2.96(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $10,9.5,7,3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.77(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=1.5,9$, $2,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.00(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=10,9,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.58(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=19.5$, $10,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.64(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=18.5,9.5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.13(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.5$, $3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.93(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=19.5,7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.62(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=13.5,9$, 4.5 Hz ), $1.99(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=13.5,9,3,1.5 \mathrm{~Hz}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 125 MHz , $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 220.51,219.09,77.94,62.28,50.75,46.34,46.56,45.73,38.70$, 31.50; mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), identical with that of diastereomer 13a; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 180.0786, found 180.0791 .

Retro-Aldol Reaction of 5-Hydroxy tricyclo[5.2.1.04.0 $]$ decane-3,8-dione (13a). A small amount of solid diketo alcohol $13 \mathrm{a}(10 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to a solution of sodium methoxide ( 20 mg ) in dry methanol ( 5.0 mL ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 35 min at room temperature. Analysis of the reaction mixture by thin-layer chromatography (10:90, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{SiO}_{2}$ ) confirmed the presence of both tricyclic alcohols 13a,b; however, none of the starting aldehyde 12a,b was observed. The epimeric alcohols $13 a, b$ were present in about equal amounts, having equilibrated presumably via a retro-aldol reaction followed by recyclization to 13a,b.

Tricyclo[5.2.1.0 ${ }^{4}{ }^{10}$ ]decane-3,5,8-triols (14a,b). A mixture of epimeric alcohols 13a,b ( $2.030 \mathrm{~g}, 11.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry THF ( 150 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. A solution of borane-THF ( 20.0 mL , 1.0 N ) was then added to the above solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 16 h , after which methanol was added to quench the excess borane. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and methanol was added ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), followed by flash evaporation to remove the borate salts as trimethoxyborane. The crude mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(1.0 \mathrm{~N})$ was added. This solution was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), after which the organic layers were combined and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide a light yellow oil, which was purified by flash column chromatography ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 10: 90, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ). The weight of the oil $14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ was found to be $1.942 \mathrm{~g}(10.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 93.4 \%)$. 14a,b: IR (neat) $3340(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{br}), 2975,1445,1360,1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 60 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ and $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.40-2.65(17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.80-3.30(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$, $3.50-3.95(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.25-4.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) .14 \mathrm{a}:{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.8 MHz , DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 30.87$ ( t ), 31.66 (d), 33.59 ( t$), 35.15$ ( t$), 41.19$ (d), 45.76 (d), 51.92 (d), 69.32 (d), 69.35 (d), 69.81 (d). 14b: ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 62.8 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta 27.08$ (t), 30.99 (d), 30.93 (t), 34.89 (t), 37.70 (d), 42.81 (d), 49.78 (d), 67.10 (d), 68.79 (d), 68.83 (d); mass spectrum (EI, $15 \mathrm{eV}), m / e$ (relative intensity) $184\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 1.0\right), 166(42.5), 148$ (81.1), 130 (12.9). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}: \mathrm{C}, 65.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.75$. Found: C, $64.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.81$. High-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 166.0994, found 166.0996 .
cis $\mathbf{3 , 5 , 8}$-Tris(mesyloxy) tricyclo $\left[5.2 .1 .0^{4.10}\right]$ decane (15). Dry triol 14a ( $1.00 \mathrm{~g}, 5.43 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(289 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and pyridine ( 27 mL ). The reaction mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and methanesulfonyl chloride ( $8.4 \mathrm{~mL}, 108 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The solution was then placed into a freezer for 7 days, after which the cold reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(100 \mathrm{~mL}$, 1.0 N ). The organic layer was then washed with aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(100$ $\mathrm{mL}, 10 \%$ ) and then with brine ( $100 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \% \mathrm{w} / \mathrm{w}$ ). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide an oil. The oil was dissolved in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ( 50 mL ) and dry ether was added until the solution became cloudy. The reaction mixture was then cooled. A white solid, 15 (458 mg , $1.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 21 \%$ ), precipitated from the solution and was filtered from the medium. The rest of the mass balance, which was still in the mother liquor, was recovered as a mixture of epimeric trimesylates (oil) which could also be employed in the elimination step (see below). 15: mp $67-70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; IR (KBr) 2980 (vbr), 1300,1185 (vs), $960 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $60 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 2.00-2.20(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.95-3.05(3 \mathrm{H}, 2 \mathrm{~s}), 4.9-5.3$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$; mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 419 ( $\mathrm{M}+$ 1, 8.0), 323 (73.0), 227 (100), 131 (48.0). Anal. Caled for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}$ : C, 37.31 ; H, 5.30. Found: C, $36.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.49$.

Preparation of Triquinacene (1) from the Trimesylate 15. The trimesylate 15 ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. Activated neutral grade alumina ( 5 g ), which had been heated at $300^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 week, was added to the reaction mixture. The slurry was stirred at room temperature for 10 h . The alumina was filtered from the solution and the solvent was removed by fractional distillation to provide triquinacene (1) ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.23 \mathrm{mmol}, 63.8 \%$ ). Analysis of the mixture by $\mathrm{GC}-\mathrm{MS}$ indicated the presence of triquinacene (1) and isotriquinacene in a ratio of $94: 6$. Isotriquinacene was not detected by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{or}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. The proton and carbon NMR spectra of 1 were identical with those reported for triquinacene in the literature. ${ }^{2.3}$ Isotriquinacene had an identical retention time (GC) with that of isotri-
quinacene prepared by an alternate route (see below). Additional quantities of 1 could be recovered from the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ on distillation through a column packed with glass beads.

Tricyclo[5.2.1.0 ${ }^{4.10}$ decane-2,5,8-triene (Triquinacene, 1). The mixture of dry epimeric triols $14 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(1.94 \mathrm{~g}, 10.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry distilled HMPA ( 130 mL ). The reaction flask was equipped with a magnetic stirrer, heating mantle, and a $6-\mathrm{ft}$ coil condensor equipped with a cold finger condensor (dry ice-acetone). The reaction mixture was heated to reflux and maintained at reflux for a period of 2 days. The reaction flask was cooled with a dry ice bath and the condenser was washed with pure pentane. The solution was then diluted with water ( 200 $\mathrm{mL})$ and extracted with pentane ( $5 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The pentane layer was then percolated through a wash column (basic alumina). Additional pentane ( 300 mL ) was used to elute 1 from the column. The product obtained from the column was analyzed by GC-MS and was found to contain triquinacene (1) and isotriquinacene. ${ }^{22}$ The two compounds were present in a ratio of 78:22. The crude reaction mixture also contained trace quantities of diene ( $\mathrm{MW}=148$ ) and HMPA (MW $=180$ ). The pentane was removed via fractional column distillation ( $4-\mathrm{ft}$ column which contained glass beads) to provide an oil ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 70 \%$ ). The reaction mixture was rearranged as described below and distilled in a microdistillation apparatus to provide pure triquinacene (1). A yield of $90 \%$ ( $80 \%$ triquinacene) has been achieved when the dehydration reaction was conducted on a smaller scale. Material may be lost by evaporation as well as by incomplete dehydration. 1: bp $78-79^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. All spectral an analytical data are in agreement with the values cited in the literature for $1 .{ }^{2,3}$ When this reaction was run on a smaller scale, the ratio of triquinacene (1) to isotriquinacene was 92:8.

Rearrangement of Isotriquinacene to Triquinacene (1) via Acid Catalysis ( $\boldsymbol{p}$-Toluenesulfonic Acid). ${ }^{10,11.24}$ A solution of crude triquinacene (1) and isotriquinacene ( $0.95 \mathrm{~g}, 7.35 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry pentane ( 2.5 mL ) was diluted with dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 40 mL ). p-Toluenesulfonic acid ( $5-10 \mathrm{mg}$ ) was added to the well-stirred solution. The reaction was monitored by gas chromatography ( $25-\mathrm{ft}$ capillary column, retention time of 1 , isotriquinacene, $11.25,12.30 \mathrm{~min}$, respectively). Isomerization was usually complete after 3.0 h ( 1 :isotriquinacene, $99 \%:<1 \%$ ), although in one case additional acid and a longer reaction time ( 10 h ) were required. The reaction solution was then percolated through a wash column (basic alumina). The solvent was removed via fractional distillation to provide triquinacene. The light yellow product was purified by distillation at atmospheric pressure to provide pure $1(458 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$. Additional quantities $(32 \%)$ of 1 were contained in the pentane fractions and could be isolated on redistillation through a column packed with glass beads: GC column, $5 \%$ phenyl methyl silicone; carrier gas, He; pressure, 18 psi ; flow rate, $50 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$; initial oven temperature, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; time at initial oven temperature, 12 min ; rate of oven temperature increase, 10 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$.

2,4,6,8-Tetrakis(tert -butoxycarbonyl)-1,5-dimethyl-cis -bicyclo-[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (7c). Di-tert-butyl 3-oxoglutarate (6b) ( $60 \mathrm{~g}, 0.23$ mol ) was dissolved in $\mathrm{MeOH}(200 \mathrm{~mL})$. Potassium carbonate ( $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} \cdot 1.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 38 \mathrm{~g}, 0.229 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), and aqueous sodium bicarbonate ( 200 $\mathrm{mL}, 5 \%$ ) were added to the stirred solution. The mixture was heated until it became a clear yellow solution. It was allowed to cool to room temperature and became slightly cloudy. Biacetyl 5 b ( $11 \mathrm{~g}, 0.127 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the solution first turned bright yellow, followed by precipitation of a white solid within 15 min . The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The solid which had formed was filtered, washed with hot $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$, and dried in vacuum to provide 8 $(60.3 \mathrm{~g}, 91 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 158-162{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction has been repeated on scales from 5.0 g to 90.0 g , and yields have ranged from 83 to $93 \%$. An analytical sample was obtained through recrystallization from EtOAchexane; TLC (silica gel, $25 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) $R_{f} 0.23$; the UV-active spot turns purple upon spraying with $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3}$ solution; $\mathrm{mp} 179-181^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FTIR ( KBr ) 3080, 2940, 2903, 2882, 1729, 1661, $1621 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (250 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.36(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.48(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(2 \mathrm{H}$, s), $11.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$ [note: repeating the NMR experiment at 333 K ( 60 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) did not result in any peak broadening or change in the chemical shifts. This ruled out the occurrence of isomer equilibration over this temperature range]; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.96$ (s), 170.29 (s), 169.70 (s), 110.73 (s), 82.32 (s), 82.03 (s), 59.33 (q), 54.33 (d), 28.61 (q), 28.16 (q), 18.03 (q); mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 567 ( $\mathrm{M}+1,1.4$ ), 511 (-isobutylene, 5.8), 493 (4.0), 455 ( -2 isobutylene, 15.7), 437 (12.6), 399 ( -3 isobutylene, 34.5), 381 (39.9), 363 (32.1), 343 ( -4 isobutylene, 97.6), 325 (tetraacid- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 85.1$ ), 307 (tetraacid- $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100$ ), 263 (22.5), 289 (tetraacid- $3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20.1$ ), 271 (3.5). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{O}_{10}: \mathrm{C}, 63.57 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.20$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 63.90$; H, 8.4 .

2,4,6,8-Tetrakis (tert-butoxy carbonyl)-3,7-dimethoxy-1,5-dimethyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octa-2,6-diene (16). An ethereal solution of diazomethane ( $25.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was prepared by addition of a solution of

Diazald ( $92.7 \mathrm{~g}, 0.43 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in ether ( 600 mL ) to a stirred mixture of 2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)ethanol ( 152 mL ), potassium hydroxide ( 26 g ), water ( 45 mL ), and ether ( 100 mL ). [Caution: diazomethane is highly toxic and potentially explosive. Work in an efficient fume hood, and follow the precautions outlined in ref 30.] Tetraester $8(70.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.124 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added as a solid to the ethereal diazomethane solution, which was maintained at -50 to $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice). The mixture was stirred for 2 h at this temperature and then at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $6-8 \mathrm{~h}$. The mixture was allowed to slowly come to room temperature in a fume hood. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure (rotary evaporator) to leave a viscous yellow oil. This oil was triturated with hexane and dried under vacuum to afford $16(72.5 \mathrm{~g})$ as an off-white solid. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from EtOAc-hexane to provide pure 16
 1709, 1682, 1366, $1170,1163,1142,1134 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(250 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.41(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.50(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.75(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.06$ $(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 18.60(\mathrm{q}), 28.04$ (q), 28.55 (q), 55.52 (s), 57.58 (d), 58.01 (q), 80.36 (s), 82.07 (s), 115.26 (s), 163.84 (s), 165.52 (s), 170.29 (s); mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI} \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), m/e (relative intensity) $595(\mathrm{M}+1,1.4), 539$ (-isobutylene, 4.8 ), 521 (-isobutylene $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 9.5$ ), 483 ( -2 isobutylene, 19.0), 427 ( -3 isobutylene, 21.4), $371(-4$ isobutylene, 100$), 353\left(-4\right.$ isobutylene $\left.-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4.7\right), 334(-4$ isobutylene $\left.-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 1.4\right)$; EI ( 15 eV ) $594\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 2.3\right), 482(-2$ isobutylene, 16.3), 464 ( -2 isobutylene $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 32.6$ ), 370 ( -4 isobutylene, 13.9), 352 ( -4 isobutylene $-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 62.8$ ), 334 ( -4 isobutylene $-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100$ ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 64.61 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.49$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.35$.

2,4,6,8-Tetrakis (tert-butoxycarbonyl) -3,7-dimethoxy-2-allyl-1,5-di-methyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octa-2,6-diene (17). To a suspension of potassium hydride ( $3.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.097 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in dry DMF $(150 \mathrm{~mL})$ under an argon atmosphere was added bis(enol ether) 16 ( $20 \mathrm{~g}, 0.034 \mathrm{~mol}$ ). The clear yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 45 min and then cooled to $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice $-\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ). Allyl iodide ( $10.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.11 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was added and the mixture stirred for 7 h at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(125 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%)$ to the cold ice mixture. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and extracted with EtOAc $(5 \times 125 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined EtOAc fractions were back-extracted with brine $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford tetraester $17,(20.7 \mathrm{~g}, 96 \%)$ as a viscous, dark oil. Upon sitting, a solid crystallized from the crude oil and was separated by filtration. This solid accounted for ca. $40 \%$ of the mass balance and examination of the residual oil by ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR} \mathrm{indicated}$ that more of this isomer ( $50 \%$ ) still remained. An analytical sample of this material was obtained through recrystallization from MeOH . The combined yield of stereoisomeric tetraester 17 obtained from this procedure was in excess of $85 \%$. 17: mp $163-165^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FTIR (K Br) 3007, 2979, 2934, 1733, 1715, 1394, 1381, 1367, 1277, 1244, 1168 1145 cm ${ }^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 0.97(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.31(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.36,1.37$, $1.39(36 \mathrm{H}, 3 \mathrm{~s}), 2.55(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=6.9), 3.6 \mathrm{l}(\mathrm{l} \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.64(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.69$ $(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.89(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.79(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 15.77,21.04,27.98,29.14,28.25,40.01,54.58,55.76,58.52,60.21$, $60.75,65.24,80.29,80.58,81.15,81.28,113.66,116.46,134.94,158.13$, $162.17,164.68,165.49,170.02,170.11$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{54} \mathrm{O}_{10}: \mathrm{C}$, $66.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.59$. Found: C, $66.56 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.80$.

2-Allyl-1,5-dimethyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (18a,b). To a stirred solution of glacial acetic acid ( 120 mL ) and aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(150$ $\mathrm{mL}, 10 \%)$ was added $17(20.5 \mathrm{~g})$. The temperature was quickly elevated to $85-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (oil bath) and maintained there until gas evolution ceased (ca. 6 h ). The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 400 mL ) was added; the solution was filtered and extracted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ fractions were back-extracted with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3 $\times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and washed with aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until the aqueous layer remained basic to pH paper $(2 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}, 5 \%)$. The solution was dried ( $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$ ) and concentrated under reduced pressure to provide $\mathbf{1 8 a}, \mathrm{b}$ (5.8 $\mathrm{g}, 87.3 \%$ ) as a viscous oil. Analysis of this material by capillary GLC indicated a $>95 \%$ yield of the monoallyl product with an isomer ratio of endo ( $\mathbf{1 8 b}, t_{\mathrm{R}} 12.37 \mathrm{~min}$ ): exo ( $18 \mathrm{a} t_{\mathrm{R}} 12.52 \mathrm{~min}$ ) of 67:33. A sample was purified by Kuglerohr distillation: bp $91-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(1.5-2.0 \mathrm{mmHg})$; GC-MS, $m / e$ (relative intensity), $t_{\mathrm{R}} 12.6 \mathrm{~min}, 206\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 8.7\right), 163$ (11.8), 148 (6.5), $110(19.5), 109(20.4), 97$ (19.2), $96(100), 95(62.0), 94$ (19.2), 83 (21.2), 82 (26.2), 81 (18.7), 79 (32.3); $t_{\mathrm{R}} 12.8 \mathrm{~min}, 206\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$, $9.9), 163$ (8.7), 148 (6.3), 110 (16.5), 109 (14.7), 97 (15.0), 96 (100), 95 (83.5), 94 (31.1), 83 (22.5), 82 (24.6), 81 (19.8), 79 (24.0); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (minor isomer 18 a , exo allyl) $\delta 216.13,136.04$, $116.81,57.21,50.75,49.22,48.8,48.15,43.38,29.92,22.68,16.22,{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (major isomer 18b, endo allyl) $\delta 216.70,215.91,136.68$, 116.31, $56.5,52.12,50.36,49.50,47.84,31.23,22.04,21.36$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd, for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 206.1307, found 206.1290.

1,5-Dimethyl-2-(2-oxoethyl)-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione (19a,b). Monoallyl-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione $18 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 9.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in EtOAc ( 50 mL ) in a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ three-necked flask equipped
with a magnetic stirrer and a low-temperature thermometer. The flask was placed into a dry ice-acetone cooling bath and the temperature was allowed to drop to $\mathrm{ca} .-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was generated $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ flow, 3.5 $\mathrm{L} / \mathrm{min}, 114 \mathrm{VAC}$; pressure, 5.9 psi ) and bubbled through the cold solution. After 10-20 min the solution took on a light blue coloration. The reaction was monitored by TLC (silica gel, EtOAc-hexane 40:60). After $10-20 \mathrm{~min}$ a new spot of lower $R_{f}$ was observed. Close examination of the TLC indicated that the major product had an $R_{f}$ identical with that of the starting material and was observable by its color change over time or when heated. At this time $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ was bubbled through the solution until the blue color had vanished. Methanol ( 50 mL ) and dimethyl sulfide (DMS, 50 mL ) were poured into the solution at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and the reaction progress was monitored by TLC (silica gel, EtOAc-hexane 60:40). After ca .14 h the spot corresponding to the ozonide had disappeared and was replaced by a 2,4 -DNP-active product of slightly lower $R_{f}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure (water aspirator), and the residue was flash evaporated with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}(3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The residual DMSO present in the crude mixture was removed by Kuglerohr distillation ( 50 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{mmHg}$ ) to provide 2.2 g of a viscous, brown oil ( $110 \%$ material balance based on free aldehyde). The material was purified by elution through a short silica gel column with EtOAc to afford 1.9 g of a colorless oil, 19a,b (93\%): FTIR (neat) 3456, 2963, 2931, 2875, 2735, 1739, $1406 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.88(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 9.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 2.04-3.10 (complex pattern of overlapping multiplets), $1.33(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.22$ (s, 3 H ), $1.17(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$. On one occasion, a small amount of 2-(carboxymethyl)-cis-bicyclo[3.3.0]octane-3,7-dione was observed by mass spectroscopy [CI, m/e $255(\mathrm{M}+1), 207\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ ] and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR [acid carbonyl carbon at $\delta 171$ ]; presumably this originated by overoxidation of the double bond or the corresponding aldehyde.

1,10-Dimethyl-3,8-dioxotricyclo[5.2.1.0 $0^{4.10}$ ]decan-5-ol (20a,b). A mixture of endo- and exo-diketo aldehyde $19 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 150 mL ). Aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \%)$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was allowed to continue until TLC analysis (silica gel, $75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) showed the absence of the starting aldehyde 19 (ca. 72 h ). Solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added to neutralize the excess acid and the mixture was filtered. The volume of the mixture was reduced under reduced pressure, and the layers were separated. The water layer was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed once with water ( 50 mL ), and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure to afford a brown, viscous oil, 20 ( $0.92 \mathrm{~g}, 83 \%$ ).

The crude reaction product was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, $1: 1$ EtOAc-hexane). The initial fractions contained 10-20\% unreacted diketoaldehyde 19a,b. The major product (ca. 70\%, $R_{f} 0.20-0.26$, silica gel, $60 \%$ EtOAc-hexane, 2,4 -DNP active) was a mixture of endo- and exo-tricyclic diketo alcohols 20a,b: FTIR (neat) 3450, 2932, $1746.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \operatorname{NMR}\left(300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.26(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.15-2.85$ (complex pattern of overlapping multiplets), $4.40(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.56(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 208.1099, found 208.1082. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 66.34 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.42$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.25 ; \mathrm{H}$, 7.47. One of these isomers was obtained pure from the flash column chromatographic separation. 20a (exo alcohol): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.39(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=4,4,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.805(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=7.5$, 9, $\sim 1, \sim 1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.56(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=17.5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.53(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=4$, $2,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.41(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18.0,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.375(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=17.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=18.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.225(1 \mathrm{H}$, dddd, $J=14.0,9,4,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.90$ ( 1 H , ddd, $J=14.0,7.5,4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(75.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 218.99,217.40,78.63,68.93,59.55,57.31,53.51$, $53.26,42.7,39.11,23.44,23.26$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}_{3} 208.1099$, found 208.1106. The final cuts from the column provided a polar ( $R_{f} 0.12-0.15$ ) white solid (ca. 12\%): mass spectrum (EI 15 eV ), $m / e$ (relative percentage) $208\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 21.9\right), 190\left(\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right.$, $33.9), 165(80.1), 123(98.7), 110(100) ;\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) m / e$ (relative intensity) $249\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+41,5.3\right), 237\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+29,11\right), 209\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1,34.1\right)$, $191\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}+1-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 100\right) ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $\left.500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 3.98(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=11.5,6.75,4.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.45(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.42(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $J=20 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=4.75,1.75,1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.27(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $20,1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.265(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=4,3.5,1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.25(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=$ $20,1.75 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.067 ( 1 H , dddd, $J=14.0,6.75,3.5,1.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.75(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=14.0,11.5,4.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.08(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$. See i of ref 25 for the proposed structure of this material. The highest yields obtained for 20 via this process were $80 \%$.

1,10-Dimethyltricyclo $\left.5.2 .1 .0^{4.10}\right]$ deca-2,5,8-triene (1,10-Dimethyltriquinacene, 3). Reduction of Diketo Alcohols 20a,b with DIBAL-H. The mixture of endo- and exo-diketo alcohols 20a,b ( $1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The mixture was cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (ice bath) and diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL-H, 1 N in hexanes, 30
mL ) was added dropwise over l h . The mixture was allowed to come to room temperature and stirred for a total of 5 h . Methanol ( 1.5 mL ) was slowly added to destroy the excess hydride reagent. The mixture was then allowed to stir for 15 min and water ( 5 mL ) was added to hydrolyze the borate esters. The mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature and the aluminum salts were removed by filtration through Celite. The filtered materials were washed with dry $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and the combined filtrate and washes were dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford triol 21 as a viscous clear oil ( 700 mg , $66 \%$ ). Analysis of the mixture by TLC (silica gel, $10 \% \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) showed the consumption of starting material and the presence or two 2,4-DNP-inactive products ( $R_{f} s 0.35$ and 0.45 ). Examination of the FTIR spectrum indicated the absence of a carbonyl and the presence of a broad hydroxyl absorption at $3409 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The complex ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the crude material were consistent with a mixture of triols. Mass spectrum (EI, 15 eV ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $194\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 41.6\right), 176\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 98.0\right), 158\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 20.3\right)$. This material was employed directly in the next experiment.

HMPA-Mediated Dehydration of the Epimeric Mixture of Tricyclic Triols 21 To Provide 1,10-Dimethyltriquinacene (3). The crude product from the DIBAL-H reduction, $21,(270 \mathrm{mg})$ was transferred into a custom one-piece reflux apparatus equipped with a cold finger condensor (dry ice-acetone) with a minimal amount of methanol. The methanol was removed under reduced pressure and freshly distilled hexamethylphosphoramide (HMPA, 26 mL ) was added. The atmosphere was replaced with argon and the mixture was heated (oil bath) at reflux (ca, $230^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for 25 h . The mixture was allowed to cool to room temperature. Pentane ( 25 mL ) was added and the contents of the apparatus were transferred to a separatory funnel. The apparatus was washed with pentane, and the washes were added to the separatory funnel along with water ( 50 mL ). The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with pentane ( $3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined pentane extracts were washed with water ( $3 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. Capillary GC analysis ( $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ isothermal) of the pentane extracts showed the presence of two major components with retention times of $5.7 \mathrm{~min}(3,83 \%)$ and $6.5 \mathrm{~min}(17 \%) . \mathrm{GC}-\mathrm{MS}$ analysis: $t_{\mathrm{R}}(\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}) 2.3 \mathrm{~min}(158), 2.5 \mathrm{~min}$ (158). The pentane solution was concentrated to ca. 0.8 mL by careful distillative removal of the pentane through a $16-\mathrm{in}$. column packed with glass beads. To obtain a sample of the volatile hydrocarbon for NMR analysis, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added and the solution was concentrated to ca. 0.5 mL by careful distillation. This process was repeated and the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $300 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta \mathrm{l} .15(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), \mathrm{l} .24(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.20(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.75,1.4$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 5.51(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=5.75,1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.59(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(75.6 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta 138.99,132.28,129.66,67.10,23.09,21.03$. On treatment of the mixture of 3 and its olefinic isomeric 22a or 22 b with $p$-toluenesulfonic acid in pentane- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature for several hours, 22 disappeared leaving 3 in pure form. High-resolution mass spectrum calcd, for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ 158.1095, found 158.1084 .

7,9,10,12-Tetrakis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)tricyclo[4.3.3.0 ${ }^{1.6}$ dodecane-8,11-dione (24). Di-tert-butyl 3-oxoglutarate ( $\mathbf{6 b}$ ) ( $39.22 \mathrm{~g}, 0.152 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in 300 mL of distilled MeOH followed by addition of 100 mL of a $3 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$. Anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(32.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.235 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added to the mixture with warming until it became a clear yellow solution. It was allowed to cool to room temperature, at this point the solution became slightly turbid. A solution of cyclohexane-1,2-dione 23 ( $8.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.076 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added dropwise over a period of 45 min with rapid stirring with an overhead stirrer. The orange solution was stirred for 72 h at room temperature. The precipitate which formed was filtered from the medium and washed with cold methanol $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried in vacuum. The orange precipitate was dissolved in chloroform ( 300 mL ) and was treated with cold aqueous $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{HCl}(200$ mL ) until the aqueous layer became slightly acidic. The chloroform layer was separated, washed with water and brine, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated to give 22.5 g of a pale white solid 24 (50\%). This solid was recrystallized using ethyl acetate-hexane to give pure, white crystalline product 24: $\mathrm{mp} 196-198{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; the TLC (silica gel, $70 \%$ hexane ethyl acetate, $R_{f} 0.58$ ) spot is active toward $\mathrm{FeCl}_{3} ;$ FTIR ( KBr ) 2950, 1725, $1660,1400,1150,790 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.26(8 \mathrm{H}$, s), $1.50(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.55(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 10.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 171.34,169.89,169.34,112.91,81.94,81.88$, $57.95,53.01,31.19,28.51,28.16,21.17$; mass spectrum (CI, CH 4 ) , $m / e$ (relative intensity) 481 ( $\mathrm{M}+\mathrm{I}-2$ isobutylene, 2.2), 463 (2.7), 425 ( M $+1-3$ isobutylene, 2.2 ), 407 (7.6), 389 (16.6), 369 (3.9), 351 (28.0), 333 (46.7), 307 (48.2), 289 (39.0), 263 ( 65.0 ), 219 ( 45.8 ), 193 ( 100 ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{10}: \mathrm{C}, 64.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.16$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 64.56 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.06. This reaction was run on a $100-\mathrm{g}$ scale with no loss in yield.

7,9,10,12-Tetrakis( tert-butoxycarbonyl)-8,11-dimethoxytricyclo[4.3.3.0.6] dodeca-7,10-diene (25). An ethereal solution of diazomethane $(0.3 \mathrm{~mol})$ was prepared by addition of a solution of Diazald $(64.2 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3$
mol ) in ether ( 400 mL ) to a stirred mixture of 2-(2-ethoxyethoxy)ethanol $(105 \mathrm{~mL})$, potassium hydroxide ( $18 \mathrm{~g}, 0.32 \mathrm{~mol}$ ), water ( 30 mL ), and ether ( 40 mL ). Tetraester $24(25.0 \mathrm{~g}, 42.23 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to the ethereal diazomethane solution, which was stirred at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 8 h and then allowed to come to room temperature in a fume hood. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure (rotary evaporator) to leave a viscous, yellow oil. This oil was triturated with hexane and dried under vacuum to afford 26.0 g of white solid. The crude product was purified by recrystallization from EtOAc-hexane to provide pure bis(enol ether) 25 ( $24.5 \mathrm{~g}, 94 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 124-125^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FTIR (KBr) $2990,1725,1700,1650$, 1450, $1330,1250,1150,1060 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.51$ $(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.53(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.56(18 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.70(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 170.24,164.55,163.74,117.48,81.85$, $79.97,57.23,56.41,54.38,31.22,28.38,27.98,21.16$; mass spectrum (CI, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), m/e (relative intensity) $622(\mathrm{M}+2,3.1), 566$ (-isobutylene, I.2), 509 ( -2 isobutylene, 33.1 ), 491 (63.2), 453 ( -3 isobutylene, 4.1 ), 397 ( -4 isobutylene, 22.3), 379 (tetraacid- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 71.0$ ), 361 (tetraacid- $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, 100 ). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{34} \mathrm{H}_{52} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : C, $65.81 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.38$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.1 \mathrm{l}$; H, 8.79.

7,9,10,12-Tetrakis( tert -butoxycarbonyl)-8,11-dimethoxy-7-allyltricyclo[4.3.3.0 ${ }^{1.6}$ dodeca-7,10-diene (26). A mixture of KH in mineral oil was added to a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ round-bottom flask. The solution was washed twice with dry hexane. The hexane was pulled off under vacuum using a sintered-glass stick. A vacuum aspirator with a trap and a drying tube was then applied to remove any residual hexane and the system was flushed with Ar. The weight of the KH was then determined ( 0.52 g , $13.2 \mathrm{mmol})$; while under argon, dry DMF ( 10 mL ) was added with a syringe. The tetra-tert-butyl ester 25 ( $3.72 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry DMF ( 80 mL ) and added to a three-necked round-bottom flask which contained KH . The clear yellow solution was stirred at room temperature for 45 min and then cooled to $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (dry ice $-\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ ). Allyl iodide ( $2.2 \mathrm{~g}, 13.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the mixture was stirred for 7 $h$ at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous HCl ( $50 \mathrm{~mL}, 10 \%$ ) to the cold mixture. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 100 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The combined ethyl acetate layer was washed with water $(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and brine ( 100 mL ), dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and concentrated under reduced pressure to give 3.7 g ( $93 \%$ ) of $\mathbf{2 6}$ as a viscous oil. Upon sitting, a solid crystallized from the crude oil and was separated by filtration. An analytical sample of this material was obtained through recrystallization from ethyl ace-tate-hexane: $\mathrm{mp} 195-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; FTIR (K Br) 2990, 1730, 1715,1680 , $1625,1450,1360,1190,1150,920 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 1.50(36 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}), 1.70-2.82(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 3.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.77(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.84$ ( $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$ ), $4.97(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 5.92(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $\delta 170.48,169.95,165.47,165.01,164.06,157.48,134.76,116.81,111.44$, $81.23,80.53,66.19,60.37,58.63,54.91,53.86,41.15,32.71,28.23,26.02$, $22.54,20.13$; mass spectrum (CI, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), $m / e$ (relative intensity) 661 (M $+1,13.9$ ), 605 (-isobutylene, 20.8), 549 ( -2 isobutylene, 67.4), 531 (100), 493 ( -3 isobutylene, 34.7), 475 (25.7), 437 ( -4 isobutylene, 9.7). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{37} \mathrm{H}_{56} \mathrm{O}_{10}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 67.27 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.55$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 66.95 ; \mathrm{H}$, 8.52.

7-Allyltricyclo[4.3.3.0 ${ }^{1.6}$ ]dodecane-8,11-dione (27a,b). The alkylated tetraester 26 ( $3.0 \mathrm{~g}, 5.45 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrolyzed and decarboxylated with 50 mL of glacial acetic acid and 50 mL of 1 N HCl . The solution was held at reflux for 2 h and then brought to room temperature. It was diluted with water ( 100 mL ) and extracted with chloroform ( $3 \times 100$ mL ). The chloroform layer was washed with water ( $2 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and then aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ until the aqueous layer remained basic to pH paper. It was then dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to provide 1.20 g of viscous oil. This material was further purified by column chromatography with ethyl acetate-hexane ( $20: 80$ ) to give pure dione $27 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(1.12 \mathrm{~g}, 89 \%$ ) as a mixture of endo and exo stereoisomers: FTIR (neat) 2950, 2870, 1750, 1640, 1450, 1400, 1200, $1170,910 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.20-1.50(4 \mathrm{H}$, m), 1.52-1.85 ( $4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 2.01-2.58 ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), 4.91-5.04 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $5.74-5.87(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (major isomer) $\delta$ $216.72,215.81,136.51,115.90,58.22,52.57,50.59,47.32,44.36,31.32$, 29.98, 28.54, 26.29, 20.12; ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (minor isomer) $\delta 216.70,215.81$, $136.11,116.29,56.14,51.06,48.35,48.12,45.01,42.27,40.65,30.99$, 29.39, 20.81, 19.82 ; mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ),$m / e$ (relative intensity) 233 ( $\mathrm{M}+1,100$ ), 205 (4.8), 191 (11.7); high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} 232.1463$, found 232.1453 .

7-(2-Oxoethyl) tricyclo[4.3.3.0 ${ }^{1.6}$ ]dodecane-8,11-dione (28a,b). Mo-noallyltricyclododecane-8,11-diones $27 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(0.55 \mathrm{~g}, 2.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in $\mathrm{EtOAc}(80 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) in a $250-\mathrm{mL}$ three-necked flask equipped with a magnetic stirrer and a low-temperature thermometer. The flask was placed into a dry ice-acetone cooling bath and the temperature allowed to drop to -60 to $-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Ozone was generated $\left(\mathrm{O}_{3}\right.$ flow, $3.5 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$, 114 VAC ; pressure, 5.9 psi ) and bubbled through the cold solution. After 10-15 min the solution took on a light blue color. Excess ozone was
purged from the reaction medium with dry nitrogen. Methanol ( 20 mL ) and dimethyl sulfide (DMS, 20 mL ) were poured into the solution while the solution was still at $-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was allowed to slowly warm to room temperature and the reaction's progress was monitored by TLC (silica gel, EtOAc-hexane, 60:40). After 24 h the spot corresponding to the ozonide had disappeared and was replaced by a 2,4 -DNP-active product of slightly lower $R_{f}$. The solvents were removed under reduced pressure (water aspirator) and the residue flash evaporated with toluene ( $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) under vacuum. The residual DMSO present in the crude mixture was removed by Kuglerohr distillation ( $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1.5 \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{Hg}$ ) to provide 0.56 g of a viscous oil. The material was purified by eluting it through a short silica gel column with ethyl acetate to afford 28a,b ( 0.520 g) as a colorless oil ( $93 \%$ ): FTIR (neat) $3020,2950,2830,1750,1400$, $1250 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $250 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 1.25-2.0(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 2.15-3.45$ ( $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ), $9.90(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) (28a, endo, major isomer) $\delta 215.05,214.44,198.60,52.02,49.45,47.07,45.62,44.80$, $42.29,39.40,30.70,29.04,20.11,19.42$; mass spectrum (CI, $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), m/e (relative intensity) $235(\mathrm{M}+1,69.9) 217(\mathrm{M}-18,100), 175(11.5)$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3} 234.1255$, found 234.1267.

5-Hydroxytetracyclo[5.5.2.0 $\left.0^{1.8} .0^{4.8}\right]$ tetradecane-3,14-dione (29). A mixture of endo- and exo-diketo aldehydes $28 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(2.0 \mathrm{~g}, 8.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 150 mL ). Aqueous $\mathrm{HCl}(12 \mathrm{~mL}, 4 \%)$ was added and the mixture was stirred at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. The reaction was allowed to continue until TLC analysis (silica gel, $75 \% \mathrm{EtOAc}$-hexane) indicated the absence of the starting aldehyde 28 (2-3 days). Solid $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ was added to neutralize the excess acid and the mixture was filtered. The volume of the mixture was reduced under reduced pressure and the aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layers were combined, washed with water and brine, and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to afford a brown, viscous oil. It was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, 1:1 EtOAc-hexane). The initial fractions contained 10-15\% unreacted diketoaldehyde 28a,b ( 0.25 g ). The major product was the exo tetracyclic diketo alcohol $29(1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 86 \%$ yield based on reacted diketo aldehyde): FTIR (neat) $3450,2920,1735,1440,1400$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta 4.43(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=7,5,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $2.755\left(1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {gem }}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 2.67(1 \mathrm{H}$, ddd, $J=7,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, broadening $)$, 2.603 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 2.54 ( 1 H , dddd, $J=5,9,2 \mathrm{~Hz}$, broadening), $2.435(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=18,2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 2.29\left(1 \mathrm{H}, J_{\text {gem }}=18 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, $2.28(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=13,9,3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.958(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ddd}, J=13,5,5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, 1.5-1.75 ( $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ); ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 219.88,217.69$, $73.76,66.12,56.72,56.71,53.15,51.77,42.07,40.93,34.66,34.26,22.67$, 21.27; mass spectrum $\left(\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right), m / e$ (relative intensity) $235(\mathrm{M}+1$, 17.9), $217(\mathrm{M}-18,100), 175(6.0)$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ 234.1255, found 234.1259.

Tetracyclo[5.5.2.0 $\left.{ }^{1,8} .0^{4,8}\right]$ tetradecane-3,5,14-triol (30). Hydroxytetracylodione $29(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 2.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry THF ( 25 mL ) and cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon. A solution of borane THF ( $6 \mathrm{~mL}, 1.0 \mathrm{~N}$ ) was then added to the above solution. The reaction mixture was allowed to stir for 16 h , after which methanol ( 5 mL ) was added to quench the excess borane. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure. It was further treated with methanol ( $3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and kept under reduced pressure to remove the last traces of $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$. The crude product was further purified by flash column chromatography $\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 10: 90\right.$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ to give $30(0.490 \mathrm{~g}, 95 \%$ yield) as a stereoisomeric mixture of triols. Examination of the FTIR spectrum showed the absence of a carbonyl and the presence of a broad hydroxyl absorption at 3400
$\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The complex ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of the crude material were consistent with a mixture of triols. FTIR (neat) $3400,2925,1340,1050$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$; mass spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ (relative intensity) $221(\mathrm{M}+1-$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 70.9\right), 203\left(\mathrm{M}+1-2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 91.7\right), 185\left(\mathrm{M}+1-3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 8.1\right)$; high-resolution mass spectrum calcd, for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{O}_{2} 202.1357(\mathrm{M}+1$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ), found 202.1367.

Tetracyclo[5.5.2.0 $\mathbf{0}^{1.8} .0^{4,8}$ ]tetradeca-2,5,13-triene (4). The mixture of dry epimeric triols $\mathbf{3 0}$ ( $1 \mathrm{~g}, 4.2 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in dry HMPA ( 80 mL ) and transferred into a custom one-piece reflux apparatus. The air was replaced with argon and the mixture was heated (oil bath) at reflux ( $230-240{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) for about 20 h . The mixture was cooled to room temperature. Pentane ( 100 mL ) was added and the contents of the apparatus was transferred to a separatory funnel. The apparatus was washed two times with pentane, and the washes were added to the separatory funnel along with water $(100 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with pentane $(4 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined pentane extracts were washed with water $(3 \times 50 \mathrm{~mL})$ and dried $(\mathrm{Mg}$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). Capillary GC analysis of the pentane extracts showed the presence of propellane triquinacene along with two other minor isomers, (GC ratio $90: 4: 6$ ). The pentane solution was concentrated to about 1 mL by careful distillative removal of the pentane through a 16 in . column packed with glass beads and then was carefully distilled to give the required product $(0.500 \mathrm{~g})$ in $60-65 \%$ yield, $\mathrm{bp} 60-65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(10 \mathrm{mmHg})$. When the mixture of propellane triquinacenes $\mathbf{4}, \mathbf{3 1 a}, \mathbf{b}$ was stirred in the presence of $p$ toluenesulfonic acid $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \sim\right.$ pentane $)$, the minor isomers disappeared and 4 was isolated in pure form: FTIR (neat) 3050, 2940, 2900, 2850, 1625, 1450, 1350, 1220, 960, 775, $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 500 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 5.54(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, J=6.0,2.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.53(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 5.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}$, $J=6.0,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.27(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=2.8,1.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.48(8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 137.38,132.13,131.31,64.76,63.92,59.08$, $30.08,27.69,17.18,16.10$; mass spectrum (EI), $m / e$ (relative intensity) $\mathrm{M}^{+}$(184); high-resolution mass spectrum calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{16}$ 184.1252, found 184.1275.

Tetracyclo $\left.5.5 .2 .0^{1.8} .0^{4.8}\right]$ tetradecane (32). A suspension of $10 \% \mathrm{Pd}-\mathrm{C}$ ( 10 mg ) was added to a solution of $\mathbf{4}, 31 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}(60 \mathrm{mg}, 0.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethyl acetate ( 30 mL ), and the mixture was stirred under $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( 15 psi ) for 5 h at room temperature, at which time $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ uptake ceased. The reaction mixture was then filtered and the filter cake (catalyst) was washed with ethyl acetate. The combined ethyl acetate filtrate and washings were concentrated in vacuo to give $55 \mathrm{mg}(92 \%)$ of 32 : ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $(250 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 1.20-2.0(\mathrm{~mm}, 22 \mathrm{H}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(62.86 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 63.58$, 51.80 (2 C), $38.48,34.19,33.86,30.62,29.93,21.15,19.69$; mass spectrum ( $\mathrm{CI}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ), m/e (relative intensity) $191(\mathrm{M}+1,54.8), 189(100)$, 161 (7.1), 135 (10.0).
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